Ivannikov Memorial Workshop 2020

Diagnosis of left atrial and left ventricular hypertrophies using a deep neural network

Pavel AndreevVladislav AnanevVladimir MakarovISP RASISP RASNovgorod State Universityandreev.pk@phystech.edusurvial53@gmail.comvladimir.makarov@novsu.ru

Evgeny Karpulevich ISP RAS karpulevich@ispras.ru Denis Turdakov ISP RAS turdakov@ispras.ru

Introduction

- Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death in the Russian Federation* and worldwide
- Correct interpretation of the electrocardiogram is of great clinical importance
- Existing methods of automatic diagnostics account for a significant number of errors

Leading causes of death World, 2016



Source: IHME, Global Burden of Disease, Our World in Data

BBC

https://www.bbc.com/



12-lead ECG allows viewing heart activity at different projections (angles)

Resulting signal provides crucial information about pacemarker, conductivity etc.

LVH and LAH

- Left Ventricular Hypertrophy (LVH) is enlargement and thickening of the walls heart's main pumping c hamber (left ventricle)
- Left atrial hypertrophy (left atrial enlargement, LVH) refers to enlargement of the left atrium (LA) of the heart.



https://www.vikramhospital.com/



Cornell product criteria (for LVH)

(R_{aVL}+ S_{V3}) • QRS duration > 2440 mVms

Presumably the best index. Sensitivity 51%, specificity 95%.

Cardiological criterea

- LVH determination criteria are primarly based on analysis of R and S waves
- LAH determination relies on P wave analysis
- Most of the criterea have high diagnostic specificity, but low sensitivity

Data utilized

- 12 leads for each sample
- 4 seconds, 500 Hz
- 64,000 records in total
- Free-form text markup
- The markup contains errors



Data distribution



Distribution of patients by age.

LVH & LAH – LAH and LVH at the same time NH – LAH and LVH are absent

Convolutional neural network for ECG classification



Signal preprocessing

- LOWESS based baseline correction
- High frequency noise filtering based on DWT decomposition



Class-average area under the ROC curve, depending on the methodology (5-fold cross-validation)



- O baseline baseline model
- O PREP added signal preprocessing
- MET metadata inclusion

The applied techniques allowed to significantly improve classification performance of the neural network Dependence of ROC AUC on the size of the training sample (Comparison with Atrial Fibrillation and Left Bundle Branch Block)



Classification performance (5-fold cross-validation)

Class	ROC-AUC	Sensitivity	Specificity	F1-score
LVH	84.0 ± 0.6%	48.2 ± 1.6%	90.0 ± 3.0%	82.1 ± 0.6%
LAH	88.9 ± 0.7%	62.4 ± 0.2%	90.0 ± 1.2%	78.3±1.1%

The results are comparable to the best criteria utilized in clinical practice (specificity is around 90% and sensitivity is around 30-60%)

Sensitivity-specificity curves and their characteristic points



Thank for your attention!